## Three New Cytotoxic Sesterterpenes from the Marine Sponge *Hyrtios* cf. *erectus*<sup>1</sup>

Geonseek Ryu, Shigeki Matsunaga, and Nobuhiro Fusetani\*

Laboratory of Aquatic Natural Products Chemistry, Graduate School of Agricultural and Life Sciences, The University of Tokyo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113, Japan

Received December 19, 1995<sup>®</sup>

Three new cytotoxic sesterterpenes, **1**–**3**, have been isolated from the marine sponge *Hyrtios* cf. *erectus*. Their structures have been determined by interpretation of NMR data. They exhibited cytotoxicity against P-388 murine leukemia cells with  $IC_{50}$  values of  $0.4-2.1 \mu g/mL$ .

Scalarane sesterterpenes, common metabolites of Dictyoceratida sponges, exhibit a variety of biological activities, for example, antifeedant,<sup>2</sup> ichthyotoxic,<sup>3</sup> antiinflammatory,<sup>4</sup> cytotoxic,<sup>5,6</sup> and platelet-aggregation inhibitory.<sup>7</sup> In our ongoing research program on cytotoxic metabolites from Japanese marine invertebrates, we found significant activity in the MeOH extract of the marine sponge Hyrtios cf. erectus collected from southern Japan. Bioassay-guided isolation afforded altohyrtins,<sup>8,9</sup> which accounted for most of the cytotoxicity of the sponge. The less active fraction yielded three new pentacyclic sesterterpenes, 16-O-deacetyl-16-episcalarolbutenolide (1), 12-O-acetyl-16-O-deacetyl-16-episcalarolbutenolide (2), and 12-deacetoxy-21-acetoxyscalarin (3), along with the known heteronemin.<sup>10</sup> This paper deals with the isolation and structure elucidation of these new compounds.

The EtOH extract of the frozen sponge (1.2 kg) was partitioned between H<sub>2</sub>O and Et<sub>2</sub>O, and the ether phase was subjected to Kupchan's partitioning scheme.<sup>11</sup> The active CCl<sub>4</sub> and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> fractions were combined and separated by ODS flash chromatography, followed by gel-filtration on Sephadex LH-20. The active fractions were finally purified by reversed-phase HPLC to afford **1–3** (**1**, 2.2 mg,  $1.8 \times 10^{-6}$ % based on wet wt; **2**, 5.1 mg,  $4.2 \times 10^{-6}$ %; **3**, 3.2 mg,  $2.6 \times 10^{-6}$ %).

Compound **1** had a molecular formula of  $C_{25}H_{38}O_4$  as established by HRFABMS and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR data. The <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum in CDCl<sub>3</sub>/CD<sub>3</sub>OD (3:1) exhibited signals for five methyl singlets ( $\delta$  0.64, 0.75, 0.77, 0.79, and 0.82), three oxygenated methines ( $\delta$  3.61, dd, J = 11.5, 4.5 Hz; 4.37, ddd, J = 11.0, 7.5, 1.5; 4.48, d, J = 1.5), and an olefinic proton ( $\delta$  5.88, t, J = 1.5) (Table 1). The <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectrum revealed 25 carbons including signals for an  $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -conjugated carbonyl ( $\delta$  173.6, 111.6, and 173.6), four quaternary carbons, six methines including three oxygenated methines ( $\delta$  67.5, 80.1, 90.2), seven methylenes, and five methyls, which accounted for 36 nonexchangeable hydrogen atoms.

Interpretation of COSY cross peaks led to four partial structures: C1 to C3, C5 to C7, C9 to C12, and C14 to C16. The remaining oxygenated methine (H18,  $\delta$  4.48) was long-range-coupled to the H20 olefinic proton ( $\delta$  5.88), which in turn was correlated with H16. These structural units were connected through nonprotonated carbons on the basis of HMBC data,<sup>12</sup> which included

Scheme 1. NOESY Correlations for Compound 1



cross peaks: Me21 (Me22)/C3, C4, C5; Me23/C1, C9, C10, C5; Me24/C9, C8, C14, C7; Me25/C12, C13, C14, C18, and H15/C17, thereby constructing the tetracarbocyclic portion. HMBC cross peaks H20/C17, C18, C19 implied that the  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated  $\gamma$ -lactone was fused to ring D at C17 and C18, which was supported by UV [217 nm ( $\epsilon$  7800)] and IR (1750 cm<sup>-1</sup>) data. Two hydroxyl groups were placed at C12 and C16 on the basis of <sup>1</sup>H-NMR data in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>. Therefore, the gross structure of compound **1** could be determined.

<sup>13</sup>C-NMR data of **1** were similar to those of scalarolbutenolide (**4**)<sup>13</sup> except for the absence of an acetyl group in **1**, thus indicating that **1** was the deacetyl derivative of **4**. This was substantiated by NOESY data (Scheme 1)<sup>14</sup> together with coupling constant analysis. H12 was coupled to H11 $\beta$  with J = 11.5 Hz, which suggested that H12 was axial. H16 was also assigned as axial on the basis of a coupling constant of 11.0 Hz between H16 and H15 $\beta$ . Similarly, H18 was assigned as axial, because intense NOESY cross peaks were observed between H18 and H12 and between H18 and H14. Therefore, **1** was 16-*O*-deacetyl-16-*epi*-scalarolbutenolide.

Compound **2** had a molecular formula of  $C_{27}H_{40}O_5$ ,  $C_2H_2O$  larger than **1**. Again, <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR data were quite similar to those of **1**, except for an additional acetyl group in **2**. A significant downfield shift of H12 (1.38 ppm) in **2** implied that **2** was 12-*O*-acetyl-16-*O*deacetyl-16-epi-scalarolbutenolide. This was confirmed by acetylation of both **1** and **2** with Ac<sub>2</sub>O/pyridine, which gave rise to 12-*O*-acetyl-16-*epi*-scalarolbutenolide (**5**).

Compound **3** was an isomer of **2**, but NMR data were significantly different. Interestingly, the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum measured in  $CDCl_3$  exhibited well-separated signals in the aliphatic region, while the low-field signals were broad, probably due to tautomerism in the hemiacetal group. In contrast, the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum

<sup>\*</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed. Phone: +81-3-3812-2111 ex 5299. FAX: +81-3-5684-0622. E-mail: anobu@ hongo.ecc.u-tokyo.ac.jp.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>®</sup> Abstract published in *Advance ACS Abstracts,* April 15, 1996.

Table 1.	NMR Data	of Com	pounds 1	and 3	at 300	Κ

	<b>1</b> in CDCl <sub>3</sub> /CD <sub>3</sub> OD (3:1)		<b>1</b> in DMSO- $d_6$		$3$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_3$	
	$\delta^{13}C$	$\delta^1$ H (mult, J in Hz)	$\delta^{13}C$	$\delta^1$ H (mult, J in Hz)	$\delta^{13}C$	$\delta^1$ H (mult, $J$ in Hz)
1	39.8	α 0.77 (m)	39.8	0.78 (m)	39.4	0.78 (m)
		$\beta$ 1.66 (m)		1.63 (m)		1.69 (m) 39
2	18.0	β 1.32 (m)	18.3	1.38 (m)	17.8	1.46 (m)
		α 1.52 (m)		1.57 (m)		1.60 (m)
3	42.4	α 1.07 (dt, 13.0, 13.0, 4.0)	41.7	1.09 (m)	35.8	1.32 (2H, m)
		β 1.33 (m)		1.29 (m)		
4	32.9		33.6		36.5	
5	56.4	0.77 (m)	56.5	0.77 (m)	50.4	1.07 (m)
6	18.2	$\beta$ 1.36 (m)	18.3	1.32 (m)	18.0	1.38 (2H, m)
		1.56 (m)		1.49 (m)		
7	42.4	α 0.93 (m)	41.9	0.93 (dt, 13.0, 5.5)	41.7	0.92 (m)
		$\beta$ 1.76 (m)		1.73 (br d. 13.0)		1.67 (m)
8	37.5		37.8		37.6	
9	58.2	0.84 (m)	57.9	0.85 (br d, 12.0)	61.2	0.87 (m)
10	37.3		37.6		37.4	
11	25.4	β 1.34 (m)	27.1	1.27 (m)	17.2	1.35 (m)
		$\alpha$ 1.74 (m)		1.54 (m)		1.56 (m)
12	80.1	$\alpha$ 3.61 (dd. 11.5, 4.5)	79.2	3.47 (m)	40.6	1.38 (m)
		β				1.88 (m)
12-OH		F		4.22 (d. 4.5)		
13	46.5		47.6		35.9	
14	50.0	0.96 (dd. 12.0, 2.5)	49.2	1.10 (br d. 13.5)	54.4	1.28 (dd. 12.5, 9.5)
15	30.6	$\beta$ 1.48 (ddd, 13.0, 12.0, 11.0)	30.9	1.34 (m)	24.0	2.05 (dddd, 20.5, 11.0, 3.5, 3.5)
		$\alpha$ 2.11 (ddd, 13.0, 7.5, 2.5)		1.94 (m)		2.29 (dddd, 20.5, 6.0, 3.5, 3.5)
16	67.5	4.37 (ddd, 11.0, 7.5, 1.5)	67.7	4.35 (m)	136.7	6.87 (brs)
16-OH			••••	5.59 (d. 5.5)		
17	173.6		175.4		127.2	
18	90.2	4.48 (d. 1.5)	89.2	4.66 (s)	59.7	2.50 (brs)
19	173.6		173.8		99.2	5.61 (brs)
20	111.6	5.88 (t. 1.5)	111.2	5.76 (s)	166.8	0101 (010)
21	21.0	0.75(3H s)	21.3	0.77 (s)	72.9	3 61 (d. 11 5) 3 83 (d. 11 5)
21-0Ac	21.0	0.10 (011, 5)	21.0	0.11 (0)	170.9	0.01 (d, 11.0); 0.00 (d, 11.0)
21-0Ac					21.0	2 05 (3H s)
22	32.9	0.77 (3H, s)	33.2	0.82 (s)	17.2	0.88(3H,s)
23	16.2	0.79(3H, s)	16.6	0.77 (s)	16.8	0.86(3H,s)
24	17.1	0.82(3H s)	17.2	0.77 (s)	16.4	0.90(3H, s)
25	67	0.64 (3H s)	7 2	0.52 (s)	15.3	0.79(3H s)
~0	0.7	0.01 (011, 5)	1.2	0.02 (5)	10.0	0.10 (011, 5)

Scheme 2. NOESY correlations for compound 3



measured in DMSO- $d_6$  revealed sharp signals in the low-field region, whereas the aliphatic signals overlapped. Interpretation of the NMR data in both solvents revealed the presence of four methyls ( $\delta$  0.79, 0.86, 0.88, 0.90) on quaternary carbons; nine methylenes, one of which was oxygenated ( $\delta$  72.9); six methines, including a hemiacetal (99.2) and an olefinic (136.7); a disubstituted olefinic carbon (127.2); an ester (166.8); and an acetyl group (21.0 and 170.9). These spectral features indicated that 3 shared a common structure of rings D and E of scalarin (6),<sup>15</sup> while the remaining portion was slightly different. The major difference resided in the nature of the acetoxyl group, secondary in 6 and primary in **3**. A C21 instead of a C12 $\alpha$ -acetoxyl followed unambiguously from NMR data and was further supported by the absence of the characteristic low-field methyl carbon signal. Stereochemistry of 3 was deduced to be identical with that of scalarin by NOESY

experiments (Scheme 2). Thus, compound **3** was 12deacetoxy-21-acetoxyscalarin, which is the first example of a scalarane sesterterpene functionalized at C21.



Compounds 1-3 were cytotoxic against P-388 leukemia cells with IC<sub>50</sub> values of 0.4, 2.1, and 0.9  $\mu$ g/mL, respectively.

## **Experimental Section**

**General Experimental Procedures.** UV spectra were recorded on a Hitachi 330 spectrophotometer. IR spectra were measured with a JASCO FT/IR-5300 infrared spectrometer. <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectra were recorded on a JEOL A500 NMR spectrometer. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C chemical shifts were referenced to solvent peaks:  $\delta_{\rm H}$  3.3 and  $\delta_{\rm C}$  49.9 for CDCl<sub>3</sub>/CD<sub>3</sub>OD (3:1);  $\delta_{\rm H}$  7.24,  $\delta_{\rm C}$ 77.0 for CDCl<sub>3</sub>;  $\delta_{\rm H}$  2.49,  $\delta_{\rm C}$  39.5 for DMSO- $d_{\rm 6}$ . MS were obtained with a JEOL SX 102 mass spectrometer. HRFABMS were measured using a dual target inlet probe. Optical rotations were determined with a JAS-CO DIP-371 digital polarimeter.

Animal Material. The sponge samples were collected by SCUBA at depths of 15-20 m off Yakushima Island, near Kagoshima, 1000 km south of Tokyo, frozen immediately after collection, and kept frozen until used. The sponge was identified by Dr. Rob van Soest, University of Amsterdam, as Hyrtios cf. erectus Keller, 1889 (class Desmospongiae, order Dictyoceratida, family Irciniidae). A voucher specimen (ZMA POR 11026) was deposited at the Zoological Museum of the University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

**Extraction and Isolation.** The frozen sponge (1.2) kg, wet wt) was extracted by blending with EtOH (2 L  $\times$  3). The combined extracts were concentrated and partitioned between H<sub>2</sub>O (900 mL) and Et<sub>2</sub>O (900 mL  $\times$  3). The ether phase was partitioned between *n*hexane and 90% MeOH. The aqueous MeOH layer was then partitioned between 80% MeOH and CCl<sub>4</sub>. This last layer was finally partitioned between 60% MeOH and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. The cytotoxic CCl<sub>4</sub> and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> fractions (5.7 g) were combined and subjected to flash chromatography on an ODS column (70-230 mesh) with 60%, 80%, 90%, and 100% MeOH. The active 80% MeOH fraction (0.4 g) was gel-filtered on Sephadex LH-20 with n-hexane/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH (4:2:1) to afford an active fraction (130 mg), which was finally purified by reversedphase HPLC (ODS,  $10 \times 250$  mm; 82% MeOH; flow rate 2.0 mL/min; UV detection at 210 nm) to yield compounds 1 (2.2 mg,  $1.8 \times 10^{-4}$ %), 2 (5.1 mg,  $4.2 \times 10^{-4}$ %), and 3 (3.2 mg, 2.6 imes 10<sup>-4</sup>%).

16-O-Deacetyl-16-epi-scalarolbutenolide (1): colorless amorphous solid;  $[\alpha]^{23}_{D}$  +19.2° (*c* 0.24, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); IR (film) 3400 (br), 2930, 2840, 1750, 1650, 1240 cm<sup>-1</sup>; UV (MeOH) 217 nm (~ 7800); FABMS m/z 403 [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>]; HRFABMS m/z 403.2820 calcd for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>39</sub>O<sub>4</sub> ( $\Delta$ -2.9 mmu); <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR (see Table 1).

12-O-Acetyl-16-O-deacetyl-16-epi-scalarolbuteno**lide (2):** colorless amorphous solid;  $[\alpha]^{23}_{D}$  +62.0° (*c* 0.46, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); IR (film) 3420, 2930, 2850, 1740, 1650, 1240 cm<sup>-1</sup>; UV (MeOH) 217 nm (*e* 7500); FABMS *m*/*z* 445  $[(M + H)^+]$ ; HRFABMS *m*/*z* 445.2951 calcd for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>41</sub>O<sub>5</sub>  $(\Delta -0.3 \text{ mmu})$ ; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  5.91 (1H, s, H20), 4.99 (1H, dd, J = 12.0, 4.5 Hz, H12), 4.50 (1H, s, H18), 4.46 (1H, dd, J = 13.2, 7.0 Hz, H16), 2.16 (1H, ddd, J =12.2, 7.0, 3.4 Hz, H15a), 2.10 (3H, s, OAc), 1.80 (1H, br d, o = 12.5 Hz, H7 $\beta$ ), 1.68 (1H, m, H11 $\alpha$ ), 1.60 (1H, m,  $H1\beta$ ), 1.58 (1H, m, H2 $\alpha$ ), 1.55 (1H, m, H6 $\alpha$ ), 1.52 (1H, m, H11 $\beta$ ), 1.51 (1H, m, H15 $\beta$ ), 1.41 (1H, m, H2 $\beta$ ), 1.38  $(1H, m, H6\beta)$ , 1.35  $(1H, m, H3\beta)$ , 1.11  $(1H, m, H3\alpha)$ , 1.08 (1H, dd, J = 10.0, 3.4 Hz, H14), 0.98 (1H, m, H9), 0.97 (1H, m, H7a), 0.88 (3H, s, Me24), 0.84 (3H, s, Me22), 0.83 (1H, m, H1a), 0.82 (3H, s, Me23), 0.80 (1H, m, H5), 0.79 (3H, s, Me21), 0.77 (3H, s, Me25); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $(CDCl_3) \delta 170.3$  (s), 169.6 (s), 166.4 (s), 111.8 (d), 87.8

(d), 79.2 (d), 68.0 (d), 58.2 (d), 56.0 (d), 50.1 (d), 45.6 (s), 41.6 (t), 41.4 (t), 39.6 (t), 37.3 (s), 37.1 (s), 32.9 (s), 32.7 (q), 30.3 (t), 23.3 (t), 21.2 (q), 20.9 (q), 18.1 (t), 17.6 (t), 17.1 (q), 16.0 (q), 7.1 (q).

12-Deacetoxy-21acetoxyscalarin (3): colorless amorphous solid;  $[\alpha]^{23}_{D} - 2.3^{\circ}$  (c 0.66, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); IR (film) 3440 (br), 2930, 2860, 1755, 1735, 1690, 1240 cm<sup>-1</sup>; UV (MeOH) 218 nm ( $\epsilon$  8300); FABMS m/z 445 [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>]; HRFABMS m/z 445.2929 calcd for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>41</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ( $\Delta$  -2.5 mmu); <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR (see Table 1).

Acetylation of 1 and 2. A solution of 1 (500  $\mu$ g),  $Ac_2O$  (0.25 mL), and pyridine (0.5 mL) was stirred at room temperature overnight. The reagents were evaporated in vacuo and purified by SiO<sub>2</sub> column chromatography (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH, 95:5) to yield diacetate 5, which showed an  $(M + H)^+$  ion at m/z 487 by FABMS. Acetylation of **2** gave the same product as judged from the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR data.

Cytotoxicity Tests. Cytotoxicity was tested against P-388 murine leukemia cells as reported previously.<sup>16</sup>

Acknowledgment. We are grateful to Professor P. J. Scheuer, University of Hawaii, for reading this manuscript. Thanks are also due to Dr. Rob van Soest, University of Amsterdam, for identification of the sponge and to Dr. N. Sata for measurement of HR-FABMS. This work was partly supported by a Grantin-Aid for Scientistic Research from the Ministry of Education, Science, Culture, and Sports of Japan.

## **References and Notes**

- (1) Bioactive Marine Metabolites, 76. Part 75: Ryu, G.; Matsunaga, S.; Fusetani, N. J. Nat. Prod., in press. Walker, R. P.; Thompson, J. E.; Faulkner, D. J. J. Org. Chem.
- (2)**1980**. 45. 4976-4979.
- Braekman, J. C.; Daloze, D.; Kaisin, M.; Moussiaux, B. *Tetra-hedron* **1985**, *41*, 4603–4614. Kikuchi, H.; Tsukitani, Y.; Shimizu, I.; Kobayashi, M.; Kitagawa, (3)
- (4) I. Chem. Pharm. Bull. **1983**, 31, 552–556.
- (5)Bowden, B. F.; Coll, J. C.; Li, H.; Cambie, R. C.; Kernan, M. R.; Bergquist, P. R. J. Nat. Prod. 1992, 55, 1234–1240.
- (6) Fu, X.; Zeng, L.; Su, J.; Paris, M.; Potier, P. J. Nat. Prod. 1992, 55, 1607-1613.
- (7) Kazlauskas, R.; Murphy, P. T.; Wells, R. J. Aust. J. Chem. 1982, 35, 51-59.
- Kobayashi, M.; Aoki, S.; Sakai, H.; Kawazoe, K.; Kihara, N.; (8) Sasaki, T.; Kitagawa, I. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1993**, *34*, 2795–2798.
- (9)Kobayashi, M.; Aoki, S.; Sakai, H.; Kihara, N.; Sasaki, T.; Kitagawa, I. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1993, 41, 989–992
- (10) Kazlauskas, R.; Murphy, P. T.; Quinn, R. J.; Wells, R. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1976, 30, 2631–2634.
- (11) Kupchan, S. M.; Britton, R. W.; Ziegler, M. F.; Sigel, C. W. J. Org. Chem. 1973, 38, 178-179.
- (12) Bax, A.; Azolos, A.; Dinya, Z.; Sudo, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 8056-8063.
- (13) Cimino, G.; De Rosa, S.; De Stefano, S. Experientia 1981, 37, 214-216.
- (14) Bodenhausen, G.; Kogler, H.; Ernst, R. R. J. Mag. Reson. 1984, 58, 370-378.
- (15) Fattorusso, E.; Magno, S.; Santacroce, C.; Sica, D. Tetrahedron 1972, *28*, 5993–5997.
- (16) Fukuzawa, S.; Matsunaga, S.; Fusetani, N. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60. 608-614.

NP960130E